

# Markov inequality for polynomials of degree $n$ with $m$ distinct zeros

David Benko and Tamás Erdélyi<sup>\*,1</sup>

*Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-3368, USA*

Received 14 June 2002; accepted in revised form 10 April 2003

Communicated by Peter B. Borwein

---

## Abstract

Let  $\mathcal{P}_n^m$  be the collection of all polynomials of degree at most  $n$  with real coefficients that have at most  $m$  distinct complex zeros. We prove that

$$\max_{x \in [0,1]} |P'(x)| \leq 32 \cdot 8^m n \max_{x \in [0,1]} |P(x)|$$

for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n^m$ . This is far away from what we expect. We conjecture that the Markov factor  $32 \cdot 8^m n$  above may be replaced by  $cmn$  with an absolute constant  $c > 0$ . We are not able to prove this conjecture at the moment. However, we think that our result above gives the best-known Markov-type inequality for  $\mathcal{P}_n^m$  on a finite interval when  $m \leq c \log n$ .

© 2003 Elsevier Science (USA). All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Markov-type inequalities; Polynomials with restricted zeros

---

## 1. Introduction, notation, new result

Markov's inequality asserts that

$$\max_{x \in [0,1]} |P'(x)| \leq 2n^2 \max_{x \in [0,1]} |P(x)|$$

for all polynomials of degree at most  $n$  with real coefficients. There is a huge literature about Markov-type inequalities for constrained polynomials. In particular,

---

\*Corresponding author. Fax: +979-845-6028.

E-mail addresses: [benko@math.tamu.edu](mailto:benko@math.tamu.edu) (D. Benko), [tamas.erdelyi@math.tamu.edu](mailto:tamas.erdelyi@math.tamu.edu) (T. Erdélyi).

<sup>1</sup>Research of T. Erdélyi is supported, in part, by NSF under Grant No. DMS-0070826.

several essentially sharp improvements are known for various classes of polynomials with restricted zeros. Here we just refer to [1], and the references therein.

Let  $\mathcal{P}_n^m$  be the collection of all polynomials of degree at most  $n$  with real coefficients that have at most  $m$  distinct complex zeros. We prove the following.

**Theorem.** *We have*

$$\max_{x \in [0,1]} |P'(x)| \leq 32 \cdot 8^m n \max_{x \in [0,1]} |P(x)|$$

for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n^m$ .

This is far away from what we expect. We conjecture that the Markov factor  $32 \cdot 8^m n$  above may be replaced by  $cmn$  with an absolute constant  $c > 0$ . We are not able to prove this conjecture at the moment. However, we think that our result above gives the best-known Markov-type inequality for  $\mathcal{P}_n^m$  on a finite interval when  $m \leq c \log n$ .

**2. Proof**

It is easy to see by Rouché’s Theorem that  $\mathcal{P}_n^m$  is closed in the maximum norm on  $[0, 1]$ , and hence in any norm. Therefore, it is easy to argue that there is a  $P^* \in \mathcal{P}_n^m$  with minimal  $L_1$  norm on  $[0, 1]$  such that

$$\frac{|P^{*'}(0)|}{\max_{x \in [0,1]} |P^*(x)|} = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_n^m} \frac{|P'(0)|}{\max_{x \in [0,1]} |P(x)|}.$$

**Lemma 1.** *There is a polynomial  $T \in \mathcal{P}_n^{m+1}$  of the form*

$$T(x) = Q(x)(x - a),$$

where  $Q \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^m$  has all its zeros in  $[0, 1]$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , and

$$\frac{|P^{*'}(0)|}{\max_{x \in [0,1]} |P^*(x)|} \leq \frac{|T'(0)|}{\max_{x \in [0,1]} |T(x)|}.$$

**Proof.** Assume that  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  is a zero of  $P^*$  with multiplicity  $k$ . Then

$$P_\varepsilon^*(x) := P^*(x) \left( 1 - \varepsilon \frac{x^2}{(x - z_0)(x - \bar{z}_0)} \right)^k$$

with a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  is in  $\mathcal{P}_n^m$  and it contradicts the defining properties of  $P^*$ . So each of the zeros of  $P^*$  is real. Now let  $P^* = RS$  where all the zeros of  $R$  are in  $[0, 1]$ , while  $S(0) > 0$  and all the zeros of  $S$  are in  $\mathbb{R} \setminus [0, 1]$ . We may assume that  $S$  is not identically constant, otherwise  $T := P^* \in \mathcal{P}_n^{m+1}$  with  $Q \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^m$

defined by

$$Q(x) := \frac{P^*(x)}{x - a}$$

is a suitable choice, where  $x - a$  is any linear factor of  $P^*$ . It is easy to see that  $S$  can be written as

$$S(x) := \sum_{j=0}^d A_j x^j (1 - x)^{d-j}, \quad A_j \geq 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, d,$$

where  $d \geq 1$  is the degree of  $S$ . Now let

$$T(x) = R(x) \sum_{j=0}^1 A_j x^j (1 - x)^{d-j}.$$

Then  $T$  is of the form

$$T(x) = Q(x)(x - a),$$

where  $Q \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^m$  has all its zeros in  $[0, 1]$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , and

$$\frac{|P^{*'}(0)|}{\max_{x \in [0,1]} |P^*(x)|} \leq \frac{|T'(0)|}{\max_{x \in [0,1]} |T(x)|},$$

and the proof is finished.  $\square$

For the sake of brevity let

$$n \leq M(n, m) := \sup_P \frac{|P'(0)|}{\max_{x \in [0,1]} |P(x)|},$$

where the supremum is taken for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n^m$  having all their zeros in  $[0, 1]$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $P^*$  and  $T(x) = Q(x)(x - a)$  be as in Lemma 1. Suppose  $a < 0$  or  $a > 2$ . Then*

$$\max_{x \in [0,1]} |Q(x)| \leq 4M(n, m) \max_{x \in [0,1]} |T(x)|.$$

**Proof.** Let  $b \in [0, 1]$  be a point for which

$$|Q(b)| = \max_{x \in [0,1]} |Q(x)|.$$

Case 1:  $b \in [1/2, 1]$ . In this case

$$\max_{x \in [0,1]} |Q(x)| = |Q(b)| = \frac{|T(b)|}{|b - a|} \leq 2|T(b)| \leq 2 \max_{x \in [0,1]} |T(x)|.$$

Case 2:  $b \in [0, 1/2]$ . In this case  $Q = UV$ , where  $U \in \mathcal{P}_n^m$  has all its zeros in  $[b, 1]$ , and  $V \in \mathcal{P}_n^m$  has all its zeros in  $\mathbb{R} \setminus [b, 1]$ . It is easy to see that  $V$  can be written as

$$V(x) := \sum_{j=0}^d B_j(x-b)^j(1-x)^{d-j}, \quad B_j \geq 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, d,$$

where  $d$  is the degree of  $V$ . Now let

$$W(x) = U(x)B_0(1-x)^d.$$

Then

$$|W(b)| = |(UV)(b)| = |Q(b)| = \max_{x \in [b, 1]} |Q(x)| \tag{1}$$

and

$$|W(x)| \leq |Q(x)|, \quad x \in [b, 1]. \tag{2}$$

Also  $W \in \mathcal{P}_n^m$  has all its zeros in  $[b, 1]$ . Let  $\eta > b$  be the smallest point for which

$$|W(\eta)| = \frac{1}{2} \max_{x \in [b, 1]} |W(x)|.$$

Then  $|W'(x)|$  is decreasing on  $[b, \eta]$ , and it follows by a linear transformation that

$$|W'(b)| \leq \frac{M(n, m)}{1-b} \max_{x \in [b, 1]} |W(x)| \leq 2M(n, m) \max_{x \in [b, 1]} |W(x)|. \tag{3}$$

Combining the above by the mean value theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \max_{x \in [b, 1]} |W(x)| &= |W(b) - W(\eta)| = (\eta - b)|W'(\xi)| \\ &\leq (\eta - b)|W'(b)| \leq (\eta - b)2M(n, m) \max_{x \in [b, 1]} |W(x)|, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$(\eta - b) \geq (4M(n, m))^{-1}.$$

This, together with (1)–(3), yields

$$\max_{x \in [0, 1]} |Q(x)| \leq 2|Q(\eta)| = \frac{|T(\eta)|}{\eta - a} \leq 4M(n, m) \max_{x \in [0, 1]} |T(x)|,$$

and the proof is finished.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $P^*$  be as in Lemma 1. Then there exists a polynomial  $U \in \mathcal{P}_n^{m+1}$  having all its zeros in  $[0, 1]$  such that*

$$\frac{|U'(0)|}{\max_{x \in [0, 1]} |U(x)|} \geq \frac{1}{7} \frac{|P^{*'}(0)|}{\max_{x \in [0, 1]} |P^*(x)|}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $T(x) = Q(x)(x - a)$  as in Lemma 1. We distinguish three cases.

Case 1:  $a \in [0, 1]$ . In this case  $U(x) = T(x)$  is a suitable choice.

Case 2:  $a \in [1, 2]$ . In this case  $U(x) = T(ax)$  is a suitable choice.

Case 3:  $a < 0$  or  $a > 2$ . Then we have

$$T'(0) = -aQ'(0) + Q(0).$$

Combining this with Lemma 2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|P^{*'}(0)|}{\max_{x \in [0,1]} |P^*(x)|} &\leq \frac{|T'(0)|}{\max_{x \in [0,1]} |T(x)|} \leq \frac{|aQ'(0)|}{\max_{x \in [0,1]} |Q(x)(x-a)|} \\ &\quad + \frac{|Q(0)|}{\max_{x \in [0,1]} |Q(x)(x-a)|} \\ &\leq \frac{|aQ'(0)|}{\frac{|a|}{2} \max_{x \in [0,1]} |Q(x)|} + \frac{|Q(0)|}{(4M(n,m))^{-1} \max_{x \in [0,1]} |Q(x)|} \\ &\leq 2M(n-1, m) + 4M(n, m+1) \leq 6M(n, m). \end{aligned}$$

This means that there is a polynomial  $U \in \mathcal{P}_n^{m+1}$  having all its zeros in  $[0, 1]$  such that

$$\frac{|U'(0)|}{\max_{x \in [0,1]} |U(x)|} \geq (1/7) \frac{|P^{*'}(0)|}{\max_{x \in [0,1]} |P^*(x)|}. \quad \square$$

We introduce

$$n \leq M^*(n, m) := \sup_P \frac{|P'(0)|}{\max_{x \in [0,1]} |P(x)|},$$

where the supremum is taken for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n^m$  having all their zeros in  $[0, 1]$  for which

$$|P(0)| = \max_{x \in [0,1]} |P(x)|.$$

**Lemma 4.** We have  $M(n, m+1) = M^*(n, m+1)$ .

**Proof.** Since  $M(n, m+1) \geq M^*(n, m+1)$  is trivial, we need to see only  $M(n, m+1) \leq M^*(n, m+1)$ . To this end take a  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n^{m+1}$  and choose  $\alpha \in (-\infty, 0]$  so that

$$|P(\alpha)| = \max_{x \in [0,1]} |P(x)|.$$

Now let

$$U(x) := P((1-\alpha)x + \alpha).$$

Then  $U \in \mathcal{P}_n^{m+1}$  has all its zeros in  $[0, 1]$  and

$$|U(0)| = |P(\alpha)| = \max_{x \in [0,1]} |P(x)| = \max_{x \in [\alpha, 1]} |P(x)| = \max_{x \in [0,1]} |U(x)|,$$

while, since  $|P'(x)|$  is decreasing on  $(-\infty, 0]$ , we have

$$|U'(0)| = (1-\alpha)|P'(\alpha)| \geq (1-\alpha)|P'(0)| \geq |P'(0)|.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{|P'(0)|}{\max_{x \in [0,1]} |P(x)|} \leq \frac{|U'(0)|}{\max_{x \in [0,1]} |U(x)|}. \quad \square$$

From Lemmas 3 and 4 we can draw the following conclusion.

**Lemma 5.** *We have*

$$\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_n^m} \frac{|P'(0)|}{\max_{x \in [0,1]} |P(x)|} \leq 7M^*(n, m + 1).$$

**Lemma 6.** *We have  $M^*(n, m) \leq \frac{2}{7} 8^m n$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n^m$  has all its zeros in  $[0, 1]$ , and

$$|P(0)| = \max_{x \in [0,1]} |P(x)|.$$

Let  $F(x) := |P(x)|^{1/d}$ , where  $d (\leq n)$  is the degree of  $P$ . Then

$$|F(0)| = \max_{x \in [0,1]} |F(x)|. \tag{4}$$

Let

$$F(x) = \prod_{i=1}^m |x - x_i|^{\alpha_i},$$

where

$$0 < x_1 < \dots < x_m < 1, \quad 0 < \alpha_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 1.$$

We show that

$$\frac{\alpha_i}{x_i} \leq 2 \cdot 8^{m-i} \tag{5}$$

for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . To see this let

$$A_1 := \{1, 2, \dots, i_1\},$$

$$A_2 := \{i_1 + 1, i_1 + 2, \dots, i_2\},$$

$\vdots$

$$A_\mu := \{i_{\mu-1} + 1, i_{\mu-1} + 2, \dots, i_\mu := m\},$$

be the sets of indices for which

$$\frac{x_{i+1}}{x_i} \leq 8 \quad \text{whenever } i \text{ and } i + 1 \text{ are in the same } A_\nu,$$

$$\frac{x_{i+1}}{x_i} > 8 \quad \text{whenever } i \text{ and } i + 1 \text{ are not in the same } A_\nu.$$

Inequality (5) is clear for any  $i \in A_\mu$ , since (4) implies that

$$\frac{\alpha_i}{x_i} \leq \frac{1}{x_i} \leq \frac{8^{m-i}}{x_m} \leq 2 \cdot 8^{m-i}.$$

We continue by induction. Assume that (5) holds for any  $i \in A_v \cup A_{v+1} \cup \dots \cup A_\mu$ . We prove that it holds for any  $j \in A_{v-1}$ . Since

$$\prod_{i=1}^m |x - x_i|^{\alpha_i} \leq F(0) = \prod_{i=1}^m |x_i|^{\alpha_i}, \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \log \left| \frac{x}{x_i} - 1 \right| \leq 0, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

Let  $j \in A_{v-1}$  be arbitrary and  $x^* := 4x_{i_{v-1}}$ . For  $k \in A_v \cup A_{v+1} \cup \dots \cup A_\mu$  we have  $x^*/x_k \leq 1/2$ , so

$$\log \left( 1 - \frac{x^*}{x_k} \right) \geq -2(\log 2) \cdot \frac{x^*}{x_k}.$$

Thus

$$(\log 3) \sum_{i=1}^{i_{v-1}} \alpha_i \leq 2(\log 2) \cdot x^* \sum_{i=i_{v-1}+1}^m \frac{\alpha_i}{x_i},$$

$$\frac{\alpha_j}{x_j} \leq \frac{2(\log 2) x^*}{\log 3} \frac{1}{x_j} \sum_{i=i_{v-1}+1}^m \frac{\alpha_i}{x_i} \leq \frac{2(\log 2)}{\log 3} 4 \cdot 8^{i_{v-1}-j} (2 + 2 \cdot 8 + \dots + 2 \cdot 8^{m-i_{v-1}-1}),$$

from which

$$\frac{\alpha_j}{x_j} \leq 2 \cdot 8^{m-j}$$

follows immediately. The proof of (5) is now complete for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . The lemma follows by:

$$\frac{|P'(0)|}{|P(0)|} = d \frac{|F'(0)|}{|F(0)|} \leq d \frac{2}{7} 8^m. \quad \square$$

The following is a consequence of Lemmas 5 and 6.

**Corollary 7.** *We have*

$$|P'(0)| \leq 2 \cdot 8^{m+1} n \max_{x \in [0,1]} |P(x)|.$$

for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n^m$ .

**Proof of the Theorem.** We need to prove that

$$|P'(y)| \leq 4 \cdot 8^{m+1} n \max_{x \in [0,1]} |P(x)|$$

for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n^m$  and  $y \in [0, 1]$ . However, it follows from Corollary 7 by a simple linear transformation that

$$|P'(y)| \leq 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 8^{m+1} n \max_{x \in [y,1]} |P(x)| \leq 4 \cdot 8^{m+1} n \max_{x \in [0,1]} |P(x)|, \quad y \in [0, 1/2],$$

and

$$|P'(y)| \leq 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 8^{m+1} n \max_{x \in [0,y]} |P(x)| \leq 4 \cdot 8^{m+1} n \max_{x \in [0,1]} |P(x)|, \quad y \in [1/2, 1].$$

This finishes the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] P.B. Borwein, T. Erdélyi, *Polynomials and Polynomials Inequalities*, Springer, New York, 1995.